

*Virginia Department of Education
P. O. Box 2120
Richmond, Virginia 23218-2120*

***Guidelines for Uniform Performance
Standards and Evaluation
Criteria for Superintendents***



Effective January 10, 2020 **XXX**

Table of Contents

Foreword	1
Part 1: Introduction	2
Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important	2
Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness	3
Purposes of Evaluation.....	4
Growth and Improvement	5
Purposes of this Document.....	5
What Can School Divisions Modify?	7
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents	9
Defining Superintendent Performance Standards	9
Performance Standards.....	9
Performance Indicators.....	10
Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance	19
Self-e l evaluation	21
Documentation Evidence	25
Client Stakeholder Survey.....	30
Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	34
Virginia Law.....	35
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation.....	35
Goal Setting.....	35
Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance	36
Formative Assessment.....	36
Summative Evaluation	39
Definitions of Ratings	39
Performance Rubrics.....	40
Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership	42
Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment.....	44
Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership.....	45
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management	47
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations.....	49
Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	50
Performance Standard 6 7 : Professionalism	52
Performance Standard 7 8 : Divisionwide Student Academic Progress.....	54
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation.....	55
Single Summative Rating	55
Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance	40
References	43

Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Foreword

Three-Phase Revision Plan

The Virginia Department of Education and the Board of Education are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of superintendents.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future superintendent performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard addresses House Bill 1904 (identical to Senate Bill 1196) passed by the 2021 General Assembly requiring that “Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the work group were incorporated in the *Guidelines*.
- Phase 3, beginning in 2022, will involve a comprehensive revision of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the superintendent evaluation system.

Part 1: Introduction

Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important¹

More than 20 years ago, in a joint statement, the American Association of School Administrators (AASA) and the National School Boards Association (NSBA) agreed that “informal evaluations cannot provide the board with a complete picture of the superintendent’s effectiveness in carrying out her (his) complex job. Regular, formal evaluations offer boards the best means of assessing their chief school administrator’s total performance.”² The role of the superintendent has changed drastically in the past two decades in the wake of the accountability movement and across the shifting landscape of public education. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) renewed the focus on school leadership, allowing for states and districts to use federal funds to target the quality of school leaders.³ As a result of the implementation of the ESSA, a shift in focus from school-level to district-level measures began.⁴ School superintendents are and must be accountable to the school board, the community, their faculties, and the students in their schools for ensuring the deliverance of effective educational leadership.⁵ While this shift in roles has taken place, there continues to be a lack of focus on evaluating the superintendent, but we know that a “conceptually sound and properly implemented evaluation system for the superintendent is a vital component of an effective school system”.⁶ Despite their agreement, the attention devoted to developing and implementing systematic performance-based evaluation systems for superintendents has been minimal in the intervening two decades.⁷ Superintendent evaluation matters because division superintendency matters. Leithwood and Riehl summarized several research-based conclusions about successful leadership; one reads “leadership has significant effects on student learning, second only to the effects of quality of curriculum and teachers’ instruction.”⁸ Both empirical findings and case study observations of leaders in high-performing schools indicate that leaders influence student learning directly by coalescing and supporting teacher efforts to achieve high expectations for student learning.⁹

Case studies of exceptional schools and districts, especially those that succeed beyond expectations, provide detailed portraits of leadership. These studies indicate that school leaders influence learning primarily by galvanizing efforts around ambitious goals, and by establishing conditions that support teachers and that help students succeed.⁷ Waters and Marzano (2006), in a meta-analysis of the influence of school district leaders on student performance, found a statistically significant positive correlation between superintendent leadership at the district level and an increase in student achievement.⁸ Other large-scale quantitative studies conclude that the effects of leadership on student achievement are small, but accounting for only about three to five percent of the variation. However, they also indicate that leadership effects appear to be mostly typically are indirect. That is, leaders influence student learning through others by promoting vision and goals, and through by ensuring that resources and processes are in place to enable teachers to teach well.⁹ Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our superintendents are of high quality. The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the complexities of the job. Superintendents have a challenging task in meeting the educational needs of a an educationally diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide the superintendents with the feedback, support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve their efforts system-wide district operations.¹⁰

Because the superintendency is so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of superintendent performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance superintendent effectiveness. A meaningful evaluation focuses on **clearly-defined** professional standards¹¹, and through this focus and timely feedback, enables teachers and leaders to recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. The benefits of a rigorous evaluation system are numerous and well-documented. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is designed and implemented appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:¹²

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of superintendents for annual reviews and compensation;
- as a targeting tool to help superintendents focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to superintendents, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable superintendents to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development ~~in order~~ to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and,
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger divisionwide improvement.

Limitations of Current Evaluation Systems

~~Although superintendent effectiveness¹³ is recognized as an important factor in improving student academic outcomes, school divisions rarely measure, document, or use superintendent effectiveness ratings to inform decision making.¹⁴ A comprehensive review of superintendent evaluation practices indicates that there is concern about a lack of objectivity in the methods used to evaluate superintendents. Traditionally superintendents are evaluated using written comments or an essay format. There is a need for technically sound, widely available evaluation instruments that may be adapted to the particular circumstances of the school division.¹⁵ In addition, the overwhelming majority of superintendents are evaluated by the members of the board; however, evidence suggests that school board members may not be adequately prepared for evaluating superintendents.¹⁶ Oftentimes, input from other stakeholder groups, such as peers, subordinates, constituents, teachers, and students is not solicited. Furthermore, few superintendents perceive their performance evaluation as contributing to the overall effectiveness of the superintendency and the school system.¹⁷~~

Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness

In the past, school division superintendents may have been viewed as managers of complex bureaucracies rather than instructional leaders; however, the move toward instructional accountability of superintendents is not without merit or empirical evidence.¹³ The position of the superintendent within a school division hierarchy suggests their ability to influence the focus

and direction of the division organization, thus, superintendents play a vital role in ensuring that systemic school improvements are a major priority.¹⁴ While not directly influencing student achievement and instruction, the superintendent is an instructional leader who must focus on increasing effectiveness while simultaneously balancing external and internal pressures on the school district.¹⁵ Successful innovations and school improvements often have central office support.¹⁶ Hord asserted that the superintendents are in the most expedient position to support instructional improvement within the division.¹⁷ Research indicates that superintendents use their bureaucratic positions in the formal organization to improve instruction through staff selection, principal supervision, instructional goal setting and monitoring, financial planning, and consultative management practices.¹⁸ Research findings indicate that superintendents of effective school divisions exhibit high levels of involvement in instructional matters, including instructional goal setting and monitoring, and use managerial levers at their disposal to influence the behavior of principals and teachers who are more directly involved in improving classroom teaching and student learning.¹⁶ It is important to recognize that effective superintendents influence student learning, either directly or indirectly. It is also important to understand the ways and means by which superintendents influence their school divisions' educational programs. Therefore, a rigorous superintendent evaluation system should be in place to discriminate the performance of superintendents and provide informative feedback for improvement.

Purposes of Evaluation

The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation states, "The fundamental purpose of personnel evaluations must be to help provide effective services to students."¹⁷ The superintendent of the school division is central to the quality and utility of personnel evaluations division-wide, and this process must also include her or his own evaluation conducted by the school board.

The primary purposes of a quality superintendent evaluation system are to:¹⁸

- Improve educational performance, both for the superintendent and, ultimately, the entire school division;
- Improve superintendent/board relations and communication;
- Clarify the roles of the superintendent;
- Inform the superintendent of the board's expectations;
- Improve planning;
- Aid in the professional development of the superintendent;
- Serve as a basis for personnel decisions;
- Serve as an accountability mechanism; and
- Fulfill legal requirements.

Candoli et al., and Hoyle et al., suggested that a quality superintendent evaluation system should:

- Meet requirements of personnel evaluation standards, that is, propriety standards, feasibility standards, utility standards, and accuracy standards.
- Build on the strengths of existing superintendent performance evaluation models and avoid their weaknesses.
- Embody and focus on superintendent’s generic duties.
- Include performance indicators using multiple sources of data.
- Integrate established evaluation concepts, including the basic purpose of evaluation (assess merit or worth), the generic process of evaluation (delineating, obtaining, reporting, and applying information), the main classes of information to be collected (context, input, process, and product), and the main roles of evaluation (formative input for improvement and summative assessment for accountability).
- Provide for adaptation to the wide variety of school division settings.¹⁹

Growth and Improvement

One of the primary purposes for evaluating the superintendent is to improve the educational performance of the superintendent, which in turn impacts the educational performance of the district.²⁰ While the superintendent is expected to manage the operational needs and demands of the school system, he/she also is expected to be a “strong instructional leader, conversant with information about educational best practice, and the process of change.”²¹ In addition, the superintendent must work within the demands of the various stakeholders of the district. As such, the superintendent as a leader must be adept in all aspects of the educational organization, which requires him/her to understand their strengths and weaknesses to determine where they need improvement and how to grow. Evaluation is a tool that can give feedback to the superintendent on areas of weaknesses and growth.

Due to the unique and complex job of the superintendent, professional development might look different for each individual based on their unique needs.²² In addition, professional development can help superintendents to stay current with the changing trends and conditions in the educational realm and should be on-going.²³ Superintendents need to have access to relevant professional development to help them to meet the demands of constantly changing educational trends and reforms that impact their position.²⁴ Superintendent growth and improvement lead to the growth and improvement of the division and its students.²⁵

Purposes of this Document

This document was developed specifically for use with school division superintendents. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that superintendent evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education’s *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-60.1 (Evaluation of the Superintendent) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

Each local school board shall evaluate the division superintendent annually consistent with the performance objectives set forth in *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* as required by 22.1-253.13:5.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 (Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator, principal, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating. ... ~~Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum.~~ Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* set forth seven-eight performance standards for all Virginia superintendents. Pursuant to state law, superintendent evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* originally approved on April 28, 2011, and subsequently revised on July 23, 2015, and January 10, 2010, provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local superintendent evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

What Can School Divisions Modify?

The *Guidelines* provide a uniform approach to superintendent evaluation which should be used throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. Certain aspects are prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, while others are highly recommended based on the research surrounding effective superintendents and best practices from the field. However, the Virginia Department of Education recognizes the importance of providing local school boards with the flexibility to make certain modifications to the evaluation system to meet their unique needs. The bullets below describe the major components of the evaluation system and what can and cannot be modified.

- Performance standards: Performance standards should not be modified.
- Performance indicators: Performance indicators are based on the research relating to effective superintendents, but school boards may modify them to meet their needs or areas of focus.
- Performance rubrics: School boards may modify performance rubrics, but the *Effective* level is written as the actual performance standard, so it should not be modified. Also note that the descriptions in the rubrics were intentionally constructed in a parallel manner so that the descriptors use similar terminology (i.e., *Highly Effective* uses “role model,” *Approaching Effective* uses “inconsistent,” and *Ineffective* uses “inadequate” or “fails to.”)
- Documentation Evidence: The use of Documentation Evidence is optional, but recommended as it provides superintendents input into their evaluation and provides evidence for those performance standards that are not easily observed.
- Stakeholder Surveys: The use of surveys is optional. They should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Survey questions provided in this handbook have been specifically selected to address the performance standards but may be modified by the local school division.
- Measures of Student Progress: The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met in the evaluation is the responsibility of local school boards. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress (e.g., progress tables, goal setting for student achievement, other valid measures).
- Other Data Sources: Data sources other than those specified above (e.g., conferences, meetings) may be used as determined by the local school board.
- Rating Levels: The rating level names are highly recommended but may be modified by the local school board. It is recommended that the rating terminology parallel that which is used for teacher and principal evaluation.
- Formative Assessment: All superintendents should receive a formative assessment prior to the summative evaluation.
- Summative Evaluation: The *Code of Virginia* requires all superintendents to receive a summative evaluation.

- Single Summative Rating: All superintendents will receive a single summative rating to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The *Guidelines* provide suggested weighting.
- Forms: The forms provided in the *Guidelines* have been developed to include the required information, but school boards may modify them as needed.

Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents

The uniform performance standards for superintendents are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual superintendent initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each superintendent by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

Defining Superintendent Performance Standards

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the superintendent performance standards. A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and school boards reasonably understand the job expectations. It should be noted that the superintendent works with the school board, division staff, and other stakeholders to accomplish the performance standards.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach of performance standards and performance indicators.

Performance Standards

Performance standards define the criteria expected when superintendents perform their major duties. For all superintendents, there are seven-eight performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Performance Standards

1. Mission, Vision, and Goals <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i>
2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i>
3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i>

<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>
<p>67. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>

Performance Indicators

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which superintendents are meeting each standard. This helps superintendents and school boards clarify performance levels and job expectations. ~~That is, the performance indicators provide the answer to what must be performed.~~ Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being **fulfilled successfully met**. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive and **they are is** not intended to be prescriptive. ~~It should be noted that i~~ Indicators in one **performance** standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Superintendents and school boards should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a superintendent’s performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow.

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress; creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and recommend/implement policies that define organizational expectations; and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders that result in continuous improvement.
- 1.2.3 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, and staff, students, and community.
- 1.3.4 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues confronting/affecting the school division employees and students and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.4.5 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.5.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.6.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.7.8 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.8.9 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.9.10 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.21 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.32 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.43 Seeks and utilizes—uses human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.54 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.65 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student learning outcomes.
- 2.76 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.87 Applies and eCommunicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement and feedback.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

*The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, **division leaders**, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of **effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement**. **Instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.***

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 ~~Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.~~ **Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.**
- 3.2 ~~Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.~~ **Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.**
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements **to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.**
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 ~~Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.~~ **Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.**

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety-Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective impactful and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- ~~4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.~~
- ~~4.9 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management. with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.~~
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through ~~effective~~ proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes ~~effective~~ professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with ~~all~~ the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, ~~gender sensitivity~~, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 67.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 67.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 67.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 67.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 67.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 67.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 67.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques; and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 67.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to network, with colleagues to share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 67.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Performance Standard 78: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 78.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 78.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 78.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 78.5 Utilizes Uses internal division and external constituent stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student progress-outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 78.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 78: If a superintendent effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 78: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia superintendent evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 78 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis should be used where applicable.

Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance

In order to **To** develop a complete picture of the superintendent's performance, board members should use multiple sources of information in assessing performance quality. These data sources might include formal and informal observations, **client stakeholder** surveys, artifacts of performance, goal setting, and other relevant sources of performance information. As representatives of the community, board members often receive unsolicited opinions about the performance of the superintendent, most often from individuals who are not pleased or disagree with an action or decision of the superintendent. Although it is tempting to use selected data sources in assessing the superintendent's performance, *some sources may be more problematic than others; thus, these problematic, unsolicited, non-representative data should be very carefully and cautiously considered before applying the data to superintendent evaluation, if they are to be used at all.* For data sources to be acceptable, they must meet the tests of logic, validity, reliability, fairness, and legality.^a Answering questions like the ones that follow will assist board members in determining whether various data sources meet these tests:

- Are the data caused by or the responsibility of the superintendent?
- Do the data reflect responsibilities included in the superintendent's job description?
- Are the data linked to student learning, welfare, or other needs?
- Are the data of primary importance in considering the quality of the superintendent's performance?
- Are better data available on the same issue?

Board members should work with the superintendent to reach consensus on the evidence-based data sources to be used.

The suggested sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on superintendent performance. Data sources may include, but are not limited to, the sources in Figure 3.1.

^a These recommendations are adapted from: Peterson, K.D. (1995) (2000). *Teacher evaluation: A comprehensive guide to new directions and practices* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Figure 3.1: Suggested Documentation Sources for Superintendent Evaluation

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation (Recommended)	Self-evaluation reveals superintendents’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of a self-evaluation should inform superintendents’ personal goals for professional development.
Documentation Evidence (Recommended)	Items generated by superintendents provide evidence of meeting the seven eight performance standards.
Client Stakeholder Survey (Recommended)	Client Stakeholder surveys provide information to superintendents about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the superintendent who prepares a survey summary as part of the documentation evidence. Surveys should be used sparingly and for formative purposes only.
Goal Setting (Recommended)	Superintendents, in conjunction with the school board, set goals for professional growth and school improvement. These goals should reflect expected or required performance benchmarks drawn from local and state guidelines and policies.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a superintendent evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs. Also, with only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.

Alignment of Performance Standards with Data Sources

Whether a superintendent is meeting the performance standards may be evidenced through multiple data sources. Figure 3.2 shows the alignment of performance standard by data source.

Figure 3.2: Aligning Multiple Data Sources with Performance Standards

Performance Standard	Self-Evaluation	Documentation Evidence	Client Survey*	Goal Setting
1. Mission, Visions, and Goals	+	X	+	X
2. Planning and Assessment	+	X	+	X
3. Instructional Leadership	+	X	+	X
4. Organizational Leadership and Safety	+	X	+	X
5. Communication and Community Relations	+	X	+	X
6. Professionalism	+	X	+	X
7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress		X		X

* Survey summaries are part of the documentation evidence.

X = Primary Data Source — / = Secondary Data Source

Note: With only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.

Self-eEvaluation

The superintendent's annual self-evaluation of progress toward meeting performance goals encourages reflection on his/her experiences. It also provides a structure to consider future goals and determine strategies for achieving goals. The self-evaluation process is also useful in promoting the superintendent's professional development. ~~Data from self-evaluations may not be objective enough to use in evaluating the superintendent for summative purposes.~~ However, self-evaluations at the middle and end of each year can reveal discrepancies in perceptions of performance between the superintendent and the board and may be very useful in generating dialogue to discuss discrepancies revealed. The superintendent may consider self-rating at the end of the year and sharing this with the school board. A sample *Superintendent Self-e*Evaluation Form is provided on the following pages.

SAMPLE Superintendent Self-Evaluation Form

Directions: Superintendents should use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

<p>1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>2. Planning and Assessment</p> <p><i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>3. Instructional Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>

4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

5. Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

67. Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

Documentation Evidence

Evidence of a superintendent's performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that superintendents actually do. Documentation provides school boards with information related to specific standards and provides superintendents with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with the board. Documentation can confirm a superintendent's effort to demonstrate ~~exemplary~~ highly effective performance, can show continuing work at an ~~effective~~ proficient level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously-identified deficiency. Documentation evidence is maintained by the superintendent and reviewed ~~periodically~~ by the school board through the evaluation process.

A sample optional *Documentation Cover Sheet* is provided on the next page.

SAMPLE Documentation Cover Sheet (*optional*)

Directions: The superintendent should list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation may also need to be supplemented with conversation, discussion, and/or annotations to clarify the superintendent’s practice and process for the evaluator.

Superintendent’s Name: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p>		
<p>2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p>		
<p>3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p>		

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>		
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>		
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>		

<p>67. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>		
<p>78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>		

Client Stakeholder Survey

Some would suggest that all members of the community should have an opportunity to provide feedback data for the board to consider in evaluating the superintendent. One consideration in collecting data using a community survey is cost. However, the real challenge is to collect such data so that it meets the tests of logic, reliability, and fairness. Some members of the community will be able to provide information based on personal experience(s) with the schools. For example, those who have children in the schools, who are involved in community organizations that use school facilities, who work in public service agencies, or who are public officials who interface with the school division in various ways may be able to provide such feedback. Community Stakeholder surveys of such individuals have the potential to provide data that meet the tests valuable input on the superintendent's performance across the eight standards if they are well-conceived, properly administered, and interpreted. Surveys that produce results within reasonable margins of error often are very expensive. Unless they are executed properly, the validity of the results may be questionable. Therefore, surveys should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Any such results also should constitute only one component in the superintendent's evaluation system. An optional *Client Stakeholder Survey* is shown on the next page. A divisionwide survey could be used in lieu of a client survey. A *Survey Summary Form* that could be included as part of a superintendent's documentation evidence is included on the subsequent page.

Note: Thoughtful consideration should be given to how client stakeholder surveys are to be used if, indeed, they are used as a relevant data source for superintendent evaluation. For example, surveys should never be administered in a selective, non-random manner; otherwise, the results will be skewed in an unreasonable and non-representative manner. Additionally, the rules for applying client stakeholder surveys should be determined in advance of the start of the evaluation cycle and best practices should be followed. Two basic methods to consider for applying surveys are: 1) as an accountability-focused data source in which the surveys are carefully and fairly administered, scored, and analyzed; or 2) as a formative tool for the professional growth of the superintendent in which the surveys are administered properly, but scored and analyzed by the superintendent, with only a summary report shared with the school board or others. The table of specifications in Figure 3.2 illustrates the alignment between the survey items and performance standards.

Figure 3.2: Table of Specifications

Superintendent Performance Standards	Survey Item #
1 - Strategic Leadership	1-3
2 - Planning and Assessment	4-5
3 - Instructional Leadership	6-8
4 - Organizational Leadership and Resource Management	9-11
5 - Communication and Community Relations	12-15
6 - Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	16-18
7 - Professionalism	19-20

9 14.	Is a positive ambassador for the school division	0	1	2	3	4
12 15.	Provides for Engages in two-way communication	0	1	2	3	4
16.	Is sensitive to the needs of all constituencies in our community	0	1	2	3	4
17.	Provides professional learning opportunities and resources that enhance capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes	0	1	2	3	4
18.	Promotes an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students and staff	0	1	2	3	4
16 19.	Demonstrates a professional demeanor	0	1	2	3	4
17 20.	Is approachable and accessible	0	1	2	3	4

COMMENTS:

SAMPLE Survey Summary Form

Superintendent's Name: _____

Date: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

Directions: Superintendents should tabulate and analyze the ~~clients~~ stakeholder surveys and provide a summary of the results. This may be included as part of the superintendent's documentation.

1. How many surveys did you distribute?
2. How many completed surveys were returned?
3. What is the percentage of completed questionnaires you received? _____%

~~Client~~ Stakeholder Satisfaction Analysis

4. Describe your survey population(s).
5. List factors that might have influenced the results.
6. Analyze survey responses and answer the following questions:
 - A) What did ~~clients~~ stakeholders perceive as your major strengths?
 - B) What did ~~clients~~ stakeholders perceive as your major weaknesses?
 - C) How can you use this information for continuous professional growth?

Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Measures of student learning are vitally important in judging the effectiveness of superintendents, but they should never serve as the sole source for evaluating performance. Waters and Marzano found a significant positive correlation between division leadership and student achievement. While the correlation was small, the finding speaks to the impact the superintendent can have on student academics.²⁶ Other studies have found that the superintendent impacts student academics through more indirect means such as ensuring the division's instructional program is coherent and there is alignment with the mission, vision, and goals.²⁷ Due to these indirect impacts on student academic progress, gains in student learning should be used as only one component in the superintendent evaluation system. The use of student academic measures requires pre- and post-assessments using reliable and valid instruments to determine progress. While there is a place and purpose for fixed standards, such as learning to read at an acceptable level, fixed standards, such as SOL tests, must be regarded carefully when applied to the superintendent's evaluation. Repeated measures of student learning over time enhance reliability from a statistical point of view and credibility from a decision-making perspective.

Why Connect Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress?

The research on student academic progress focuses on both testing and assessment. Research indicates that there is a statistically significant difference in student achievement based on the quality of division level leadership.²⁸ The increasing demand for accountability makes it no longer plausible that a superintendent goes before the school board or media, and simply claims that the division is doing a great job in educating students. Superintendents must have the skills to explain how well the students compare to others in the state and nation. Additionally, they must be able to articulate how much students have *increased* in valid and appropriate learning measures. The superintendent must be a linchpin in monitoring and evaluating student achievement and student progress on the basis of objectives and expected student outcomes. Therefore, one of the greatest pressures on school superintendents is to obtain higher performance on high-stakes tests from the schools in their division.²⁹

Superintendents must be skilled in responding to accountability demands, from state legislators, state department of education, and the local school board, with strategies to meet benchmarks, and help promote a more comprehensive and inclusive learning environment in the school division. There is a delicate balance between following the vision of higher student performance, and the professional and personal concerns of students, staff, and community.³⁰ Supportive superintendents can influence classrooms through the establishment of mechanisms that can make improved teaching and learning a reality. As an instructional leader, the superintendent should: incorporate research findings on learning and instruction, instructional time, and resources to maximize student outcomes; apply best practices in the integration of curriculum and resources; and employ assessment strategies to help all students achieve high levels of success.³¹

Implementation Concerns

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. When deciding how to include student academic progress in superintendent evaluation, local school boards need to be aware of several implementation concerns:

- The increased focus on using student learning measures in superintendent evaluation may be new for some superintendents and their evaluators. Thus, there may be initial concerns to this change in evaluation practices.
- Many of the measures of student academic progress are directly tied to classroom and school-level initiatives, which may cause concern. Thus, school boards will need to carefully consider how to use progress (value) table data and other quantitative measures of academic progress in a way that is appropriate for assessing the overall performance of the school division as part of the superintendent's evaluation.

Virginia Law

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards.

Goal Setting

One approach to linking student academic progress to superintendent performance involves building the capacity for superintendents to interpret and use student achievement data to set target goals for divisionwide student improvement. Setting goals -- not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance -- is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. In their meta-analysis, Waters and Marzano, found that effective superintendents create goal-oriented school divisions.²⁸ Whenever possible, it is recommended that the goals be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available, such as state performance benchmarks.

The school board, in conjunction with the superintendent, can set annual division goals for the superintendent that are congruent with the division's needs and concerns and are balanced across grades and school levels, as appropriate. The goals then can be reviewed and adjusted as necessary. It is important for the school board and superintendent to think through the shorter-term goals that are needed to address longer-term outcomes and for the school board to recognize and account for the time it takes for initiatives to be realized. Goal setting should occur at the beginning of the superintendent's contract year and the superintendent should report on progress in achieving the goals at regular intervals throughout the evaluation process. This provides a valuable forum for board/superintendent dialogue. Indicators of goal attainment include documentation via the superintendent's oral and written reports as well as other division data that may reflect goal achievement. A sample *Superintendent's Annual Goals* form is shown later in this section.

Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

To be able to measure goal attainment, superintendents must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school division student population's learning needs and priorities. School boards and superintendents should develop mutually agreed-upon measures to include in the evaluation to best reflect the priorities of the division. Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures. Additionally, it is important that multiple, relevant measures be used.

There are several important considerations when identifying multiple measures. The measures may focus on:

- All student performance and reporting group performance;
- Specific areas of need;
- Alignment with the strategic plan; and
- Topics/indicators across grade levels.

Figure 4.1 shows suggested focus areas for goal setting that provide measures of divisionwide student academic progress ~~that focus on school division improvement~~. (*Note:* This is not intended as an exhaustive list. Each school board should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school division.)

Figure 4.1: Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Category	Measure	Early elementary school (may include PreK)	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
All Students' Academic Progress	• Progress on SOL assessments and/or growth assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvement on advanced pass rates on SOL assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase percentage of middle school students taking high school level courses			✓	
	• Improvements in high school graduation rates				✓
	• Reduce retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
Reporting Groups and Other Student Groupings	• English Language Learners progress on English language proficiency assessment	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in percentage of students with disabilities earning Standard and Advanced Studies diplomas				✓
	• Increase in achievement of economically disadvantaged students	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Reporting groups making increased academic progress	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Decrease in achievement gap in reporting groups	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in achievement of Individualized Education Plan goals	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvements in underperforming reporting groups earning a high school diploma				✓
College and Career Readiness	• Participation and success in AP and dual enrollment courses advanced coursework (i.e., Dual Enrollment, AP, IB, industry certification)				✓
	• Enrollment and achievement in postsecondary education				✓
	• Increase in percentage of students earning career and technical industry certification, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials				✓
Reading/Literacy Readiness	• On track indicators such as Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening or similar measures available locally	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• SOL test outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓

Category	Measure	Early elementary school (may include PreK)	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Benchmark outcomes 	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mathematics Readiness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Progress on Algebra readiness assessments such as the Algebra Readiness Diagnostic Test Enrollment and success in Algebra I by eighth grade SOL test outcomes Benchmark outcomes 	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
STEM Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in percentage of underrepresented students taking advanced STEM courses Increase in number of industry certifications 				✓ ✓
Student Progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes Increased student academic progress based on progress (value) table data 	✓	✓	✓	✓
Student Engagement and Social/Emotional Wellness Nonacademic Core Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in percentage of students involved in extracurricular activities Increase in percentage of students receiving prestigious awards, scholarships, and recognition Attendance data Wellness indicators about students' social/emotional well-being Behavior and discipline data 	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓

Sample: Superintendent’s Annual Goals

Directions: This form is a tool to assist superintendents in setting goals that result in measurable divisionwide student academic progress. Goals may relate to other standards, but all goals should address Standard 78 as well. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

Preliminary approval granted by school board on: _____

Mid-year review conducted by school board on: _____

Year-end review conducted by school board on: _____

Goal:					
Check the standard(s) to which the goal relates <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Planning and Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Instructional Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 67. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress					
Expected term to completion: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input type="checkbox"/> Mid-term <input type="checkbox"/> Long-term					
<i>Indicators of Success</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 100px;"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Evidence to Date</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 100px;"> </td> </tr> </table>	<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>		<i>Evidence to Date</i>	
<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>					
<i>Evidence to Date</i>					

Evaluators Signature

Date

Evaluators Name

Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, school boards should conduct both formative assessments and summative evaluations of superintendents.

Formative Assessment

Formative assessment can provide valuable information to superintendents. At any point during the year, the school board has the option to share its assessment of the superintendent's performance by discussing the evidence related to the ~~seven~~eight-standards. An optional *Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report* is provided on the following pages. It should be noted that this report does not include an actual rating in any of the performance standards.

SAMPLE Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report (optional)

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator: _____

<p>Performance Standard 1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 2. Planning and Assessment</p> <p><i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 3. Instructional Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>

<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 67. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i> See Superintendent's Annual Goal for details.</p> <p>Comments:</p>

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Summative Evaluation

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple **data** sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all superintendents.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed. The performance standards and performance indicators provide a description of well-defined expectations.

Definitions of Ratings

The rating scale provides a description of four levels of how well the standards (i.e., duties) are performed on a continuum from “Exemplary” to “Unacceptable.” The use of the scale enables school boards to acknowledge effective performance (i.e., “Exemplary” and “Proficient”) and provides two levels of feedback for superintendents not meeting expectations (i.e., “Developing/Needs Improvement” and “Unacceptable”). The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the ~~seven~~ **eight** performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale

Category	Description	Definition
Exemplary Highly Effective	The superintendent performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that <u>consistently and considerably surpass</u> the established performance standard, and does so in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals. This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is demonstrated with significant student academic progress <u>done in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals.</u>	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over the evaluation cycle a period of time • empowers principals, teachers and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student academic progress learning and the school division climate • serves as a role model to others
Proficient Effective	The superintendent <u>consistently meets</u> the performance standard in a manner that is <u>eonsistent</u> aligned with the division’s mission and goals and has a positive impact on student academic progress.	Effective Proficient performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistently meets the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student academic progress and the school climate • demonstrates a willingness to learn and apply new skills

Category	Description	Definition
Developing/ Needs Improvement Approaching Effective	The superintendent's performance is inconsistent in meeting the established performance standard and/or working toward the division's mission and goals. The superintendent may be starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the superintendent's performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement). The superintendent often performs less than required in the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the division's mission and goals and results in below average student academic progress.	Below acceptable Developing/needs improvement performances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> requires support in meeting the performance standards results in less than expected quality of student academic progress performance leads to areas for requires superintendent professional growth improvement being jointly identified and planned between the superintendent and school board
Unacceptable Ineffective	The superintendent consistently performs below the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school division's mission and goals and results in minimal student academic progress.	Ineffective Unacceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not meet the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard results in minimal student academic progress learning may contribute to a recommendation for the superintendent not being considered recommended for continued employment

How a Performance Rubric Works

Evaluators have two tools to guide their judgments for rating superintendents' performance for the summative evaluation: 1) the sample performance indicators, and 2) the performance rubric.

Sample Performance Indicators

Performance indicators are used in the evaluation system to identify, in observable behaviors, performance of the major job standards. They were introduced in Part 2, and examples are provided again in this section.


Performance Rubrics

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed, describes acceptable performance levels for each of the seven performance standards. It states the measure of performance expected of superintendents and provides a general description of performance at each level what a rating entails. The rating scale is applied to the summative evaluation of superintendents. The performance rubrics guide evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed. They In some instances, quantitative terms are included to augment the qualitative description. The resulting performance rubric provides a clearly delineated step-wise progression, along a continuum of effectiveness (as illustrated with arrows between the two levels). Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. Teachers who earn a *Highly Effective* rating must meet the requirements for the *Effective* level and go beyond it.

Performance rubrics are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help superintendents focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. **Please note: The rating of “Proficient-Effective” is the expected level of performance and is written as the actual performance standard.** A superintendent who is new to the division or position may be considered “developing” Approaching Effective in a standard. Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented here may be modified at the discretion of the school board.

Figure 5.2: Example of a Performance Rubric

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks out research on the effective use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.	The superintendent does not gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.



Performance Rubrics for Performance Standards

Superintendents are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: ~~Mission, Vision, and Goals~~ Strategic Leadership


The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. ~~creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.~~

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and recommend implement policies that define organizational expectations; and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders that result in continuous improvement.
- 1.23 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, and staff, students, and community.
- 1.34 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues confronting affecting the school division employees and students and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.45 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.56 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.67 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.78 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.89 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.910 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.



Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment


The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.5 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student learning outcomes.
- 2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement and feedback.



Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership


*The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, **division leaders**, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of **effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.***

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 ~~Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.~~ **Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.**
- 3.2 ~~Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.~~ **Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.**
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and **directs change for needed improvements to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.**
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 ~~Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.~~ **Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.**



Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety-Resource Management


The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective impactful and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.9 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management. with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.



Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations


The superintendent fosters the success of all students through ~~effective~~ proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes ~~effective~~ professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with ~~all~~ the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, ~~gender sensitivity~~, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.



Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021



Performance Standard 67: Professionalism


The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards ~~and ethics~~, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 67.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 67.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 67.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 67.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 67.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 67.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 67.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques; and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 67.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to ~~network~~ ~~with colleagues~~ to share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational ~~about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.~~
- 67.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.



Performance Standard 78: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress


The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 78.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 78.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 78.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 78.5 Utilizes Uses internal division and external constituent stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student progress-outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 78.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.



Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation

School boards make judgments about the performance of the seven eight performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the school board applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a superintendent's performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the "preponderance of evidence" exists, based on various data sources. Two A sample *Superintendent Summative Performance Reports* are is provided on the following pages. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the superintendent at a summative evaluation conference.

Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy. Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the seven eight performance standards.

Single Summative Rating

In addition to receiving a diagnostic rating for each of the eight performance ratings, the superintendent will receive a single summative evaluation rating at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle. This summative rating will reflect an overall evaluation rating for the employee. The intent is not to replace the diagnostic value of the eight performance standards; rather it is to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The overall summative rating will be judged to be *Highly Effective, Effective, Approaching Effective, or Ineffective*.

Scores will be calculated using the following scale:

Highly Effective = 4

Effective = 3

Approaching Effective = 2

Ineffective = 1

The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. The following example complies with this requirement.

In determining the final summative rating, the following approach could be used:

1. Apply numbers 1 (Unacceptable) through 4 (Exemplary) to the rating scale

Exemplary = 4

Proficient = 3

Developing/Needs Improvement = 2

Unacceptable = 1;

2. Calculate the weighted contribution of each standard to the summative evaluation; and

3. Add the weighted contribution to achieve the final summative evaluation.

The Guidelines recommend the following weighting for superintendent performance evaluations.

Figure 5.3: Sample Weighting

Superintendent Performance Standard	Weight
Standard 1	1.25
Standard 2	1.25
Standard 3	1.25
Standard 4	1.25
Standard 5	1.25
Standard 6	1.25
Standard 7	1.25
Standard 8	1.25

Figure 5.4: Example of Recommended Weighted Calculations for Superintendent Performance Evaluation

Superintendent Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 2	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 3	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 4	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 5	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 6	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 7	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 8	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Cumulative Single Summative Rating				3433.75

The overall single summative rating will be judged as *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective* using the following range of scores:

Figure 5.5: Range of Scores

Rating	Range of Scores
Highly Effective	35-40
Effective	26-34
Approaching Effective	20-25
Ineffective	10-19

School boards will have to determine the range of scores within the Cumulative Summative Rating that are indicative of “Exemplary,” “Proficient,” “Developing/Needs Improvement,” and “Unacceptable” performance.

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report Option A

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to provide the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent's Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Works with the school board to develop and recommend policies that define organizational expectations, and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders.
- 1.2 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, and professionalism with the school board and staff.
- 1.3 Keeps the school board informed on needs and issues confronting school division employees and students.
- 1.4 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day to day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise.
- 1.9 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- ~~2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.~~
- ~~2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.~~
- ~~2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long and short range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.~~
- ~~2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.~~
- ~~2.5 Uses research based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.~~
- ~~2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched based instructional practices that result in student learning.~~
- ~~2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal and state standards.~~
- ~~2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement.~~

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- ~~3.1 Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.~~
- ~~3.2 Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.~~
- ~~3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.~~
- ~~3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements.~~
- ~~3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.~~
- ~~3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.~~
- ~~3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.~~
- ~~3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.~~
- ~~3.9 Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.~~

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- ~~4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques.~~
- ~~4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management, student discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.~~
- ~~4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.~~
- ~~4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.~~
- ~~4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to achieve school, community, and division goals.~~
- ~~4.6 Provides staff development for all categories of personnel consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.~~
- ~~4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback.~~
- ~~4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.~~
- ~~4.9 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.~~

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input as a part of the decision making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 6.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission and goals of the school division.
- 6.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to actions of others.
- 6.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 6.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 6.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 6.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques, and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 6.8 Networks with colleagues to share knowledge about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 6.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 7: ~~Divisionwide Student Academic Progress~~

~~The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.~~

Sample Performance Indicators

~~Examples may include, but are not limited to:~~

~~The superintendent:~~

- ~~7.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.~~
- ~~7.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.~~
- ~~7.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.~~
- ~~7.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.~~
- ~~7.5 Utilizes internal division and external constituent meetings and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.~~
- ~~7.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.~~
- ~~7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.~~
- ~~7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long and short range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.~~
- ~~7.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.~~

~~Comments:~~

~~RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable~~

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

Exemplary

Proficient

Developing/Needs Improvement

Unacceptable

Recommended for Targeted Professional Growth. (One or more standards are Unacceptable, or two or more standards are Developing/Needs Improvement.)

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report ~~Option B~~

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to providing the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: ~~Mission, Vision, and Goals~~ Strategic Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes a highly productive relationship with the local school board to drive lead strategic improvement formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in working with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. is inconsistent in creating, monitoring, and/or facilitating the process of strategic improvement, and/or seeking to ensure the division’s mission, vision and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent does not work with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. fails to create, monitor, and/or facilitate the process of strategic improvement, and/or fails to seek to ensure the division’s mission, vision and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments: _____</p>			

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent proactively seeks out and applies research on the effective impactful use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance and student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent does not fails to gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent actively and consistently employs innovative and effective impactful leadership strategies that empower teachers, staff, and administrators division leaders; maximize student academic progress; and result in effective teaching and learning that reflects excellence.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>	<p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by facilitating ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>	<p>The superintendent does not fails to foster the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by facilitating ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>
<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent is highly exemplifies leadership in efficient and safe operations and effective at organizational management by promoting staff innovation, demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating safe, efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.</p>	<p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and/or evaluating the division's organization, operation, safety, and/or use of resources.</p>	<p>The superintendent inadequately fails to foster the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supports supporting, manages managing, and/or evaluates evaluating the division's organization, operation, safety and/or use of resources.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate, collaborate, and engage effectively impactfully with stakeholders.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective-proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</p>	<p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communication with the board, staff, families, and/or other in communicating on issues of importance to stakeholders.</p>	<p>The superintendent demonstrates ineffective or detrimental communication with stakeholders-fails to foster the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communications with the board, staff, families, and/or other stakeholders.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective</p> <p><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent consistently engages internal and external stakeholders in demonstrating a shared commitment to ensuring a culturally responsive environment that objectively monitors student outcomes and allocates resources to ensure the creation of a culturally responsive environment where all students and staff thrive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Proficient Effective</p> <p><i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes division equity goals, priorities, and strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice to support achievement for all students. The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent is inconsistent in establishing division equity goals, priorities, and/or strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice, which result in equitable outcomes for all students. The superintendent is inconsistent in establishing and implementing division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent fails to establish division equity goals, priorities, and/or strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice, which result in equitable outcomes for all students. The superintendent fails to establish and implement division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent demonstrates professionalism through published works, formal presentation(s), and/or formal recognition(s) or award(s); models a commitment to continuous learning and innovation that translates to division faculty and staff and makes significant and meaningful contributions to the profession that are recognized at the local, regional, state, and/or national level.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</p>	<p>The superintendent is inconsistent in fostering the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or in contributing to the profession.</p>	<p>The superintendent fails to foster the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, and shows disregard for professional standards, and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or contributing to the profession.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent's leadership serves as a role model to others and results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.</p>	<p>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</p>	<p>The superintendent's leadership has not reached a level of proficiency in promoting results in student academic progress that inconsistently meets the established standard.</p>	<p>The superintendent's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Overall Evaluation Summary:

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points HE=4 E=3 AE=2 IE=1	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1			1.25	
Standard 2			1.25	
Standard 3			1.25	
Standard 4			1.25	
Standard 5			1.25	
Standard 6			1.25	
Standard 7			1.25	
Standard 8			1.25	
Single Summative Rating				

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

- ~~Exemplary~~ **Highly Effective**
- ~~Proficient~~ **Effective**
- ~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~ **Approaching Effective**
- ~~Unacceptable~~ **Ineffective**
- Recommended for Targeted Professional Growth. (One or more standards are *Unacceptable Ineffective*, or two or more standards are *Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective*.)**

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance

Supporting superintendents is essential to the success of school divisions. Many resources are needed to assist superintendents in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help superintendents develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school divisions.

Targeted Professional Growth, a division-level discussion between the school board and the superintendent, is an optional process to promote conversation about performance in order to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth. Figure 6.1 highlights the process.

Figure 6.1: *Tool to Increase Professional Performance*

	Targeted Professional Growth
Purpose	For superintendents who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth.
Initiates Process	School board or superintendent
Documentation	Form Provided: None Optional Targeted Professional Growth Form Memo or other record of the discussion/other forms of documentation at the school board level
Outcomes	Performance improvement is documented with the support dialogue continued at the discretion of the school board or the superintendent

The *Targeted Professional Growth* process is initiated by the school board or superintendent at any point during the school year when the superintendent’s professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns. The *Targeted Professional Growth* process should not be construed as applying to poor-performing superintendents. The option for *Targeted Professional Growth* is open to any superintendent who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the superintendent’s growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Targeted Professional Growth Form* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the school board and superintendent meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?

What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?

What support can the school board provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

The last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?

What has not gone as well?

The entire *Targeted Professional Growth* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within ~~a six-week period~~ **six weeks**) as it offers targeted support. If the *Targeted Professional Growth* process was initiated by a superintendent seeking self-improvement, the school board and superintendent may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For a superintendent for whom the school board initiated the *Targeted Professional Growth* process, the desired outcome would be that the superintendent's practice has improved to a proficient level. ~~In the event that~~ **If** improvements in performance are still needed, the school board ~~makes a determination~~ **determines** either to extend the time of the *Targeted Professional Growth* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources.

SAMPLE: Targeted Professional Growth *(optional)*

Directions: School boards and superintendents may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

References

- American Association of School Administrators. (1980). *Evaluating the superintendent*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020). Superintendents' perception of their role and their professional development in an era of changing organizational environment. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 19*(3), 462-476.
- Björk, L. G. (1993). Effective schools—effective superintendents: The emerging instructional leadership role. *Journal of School Leadership, 3*, 246-259.
- Brendeson, P. V. (1996). Superintendents' role in curriculum development and instructional leadership: Instructional visionaries, collaborators, supporters, and delegators. *Journal of School Leadership, 6*(3), 243-264.
- Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007). Identifying the relative strength in Glasser's 5 basic needs in school superintendents. *AASA Journal of Scholarship and Practice, 3*(4), 5-11.
- Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (2012). *Superintendent performance evaluation: Current practice and directions for improvement*. Springer Science+Business Media. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Dillon, R. R., & Halliwell, J. W. (1991). Superintendents' and school board presidents' perceptions of the purpose, strengths and weaknesses of formal superintendent evaluations. *Journal of School Leadership, 1*, 328-337.
- DiPaola, M. F. (2010). *Evaluating the superintendent* [White paper]. Retrieved from American Association of School Administrators website: http://www.aasa.org/uploadedFiles/Resources/AASA_White_Paper_on_Superintendent_Evaluation.pdf
- DiPaola, M.F., Schneider, T. L., & Staples, S. R. (2019). *Evaluating school superintendents*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003). *Superintendent evaluation handbook*. Lanham, MA: Scarecrow.
- Filippi, J. R., & Hackmann, D. G. (2019). Leading common core state standards implementation: Lessons from one successful superintendent. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 18*(1), 138-153.
- Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009). The evaluation of principals: What and how do states and urban districts assess leadership? *The Elementary School Journal, 110*, 19-39.
- Henrikson, R. (2018). Superintendent evaluation frameworks for continuous improvement: Using evidence-based processes to promote the stance of improvement. *AASA Journal of Scholarship & Practice, 15*(1), 22-29.

- Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., & Harris, M. (2016). *School leadership interventions under the Every Student Succeeds Act* [Research report]. Retrieved from RAND Corporation website: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_reports/RR1500/RR1550/RAND_RR1550.pdf
- Hord, S. M. (1993). Smoke, mirrors or reality: Another instructional leader. In D. S. G. Carter, T. E. Glass, and S. M. Hord (Eds.), *Selecting, preparing, and developing the school district superintendents* (pp. 1-19). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.
- Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). *The superintendent as CEO: Standards-based performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001). Superintendent performance evaluation and its relationship to district student performance. In T. J. Kowalski (Ed.), *21st Century challenges for school administrators* (pp. 272-285). Lanham, MA: Scarecrow Press.
- Jimenez, L., & Sargrad, S. (2017). *A new vision for school accountability* [Report]. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (2009). *The personnel evaluation standards* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). *Management quality in public education: Superintendent value-added, student outcomes and mechanisms* (No. w24028). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017). Characteristics of high-performing school districts. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 16(1), 27–53. doi:10.1080/15700763.2016.1197282.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415–434.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2008). Linking leadership to student learning: The contributions of leader efficacy. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 496–528. doi:10.1177/0013161X08321501.
- Leithwood, K. A., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What Do We Already Know About Successful School Leadership?* AERA Division A Task Force on Developing Research in Educational Leadership, 2–37.
- Leithwood, K., Sun, J., & McCullough, C. (2019). How school districts influence student achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 57(5), 519–539. doi:10.1108/JEA-09-2018-0175.
- Maxfield, C., Wells, C., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. (2008, November 12). *The role of superintendents in supporting teacher leadership*. Retrieved from <http://cnx.org/content/m18262/1.1/>
- Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002). The superintendent's role in leading academically effective school districts. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils of the modern superintendency* (pp. 175-196). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.

- Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005). The superintendent as instructional leader: Current practice, future conceptualizations, and implications for preparation. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 107-136). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011). Identifying the professional development needs of school superintendents. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 6(3), n3.
- Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008). *Qualities of effective principals*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021). *Qualities of effective principals*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Waters, T. J., & Marzano, R. J. (2006). School District Leadership That Works: The Effect of Superintendent Leadership on Student Achievement. A Working Paper. *Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL)*.
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

Acknowledgements

The Virginia Department of Education appreciates the work of those who contributed to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, that became effective on July 1, 2014.

Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group

Rev. Jarvis E. Bailey	Dr. Mark Jones
Mr. James Baldwin	Ms. Gena Cook Keller
Dr. Randy Barrack	Mr. William S. Kidd
Dr. BJ Brewer	Mr. D. Patrick Lacy
Dr. Sarah Campbell	Dr. Mark Lineburg
Ms. Anne Carson	Dr. Keith Perrigan
Dr. Walter R. Clemons	Ms. Sharon E. Pope
Dr. Jack Dale	Dr. Betsy Roberson
Mr. Vincent Darby	Mr. Wendell C. Roberts
Dr. Lyle Evans	Dr. Patrick J. Russo
Ms. Kimberly B. Gray	Mr. Leonard Stewart, Jr.
Dr. Angela Gwynne Atwater	Dr. Thomas A. Whitley
Ms. Kari M. Hall	Mrs. Diana D. Winston

Project Consultants

~~Dr. James H. Stronge~~
With assistance from: ~~Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson~~

Department of Education Staff

~~Dr. Mark R. Allan~~
~~Dr. Deborah Jonas~~
~~Ms. Patty S. Pitts~~
~~Dr. Kathleen Smith~~
~~Ms. Michelle Vucci~~
~~Dr. Linda Wallinger~~
~~Ms. Anne Wescott~~

Dr. Patricia I. Wright

Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group

Ms. Jenna Alexander

President-elect

Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Ms. Krista Arnold

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Ms. Mandy Baker

Assistant Superintendent of Human Relations and Administration

Hanover County Public Schools

Mr. Randy D. Barrack

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals

Mr. Timothy Beatty

Principal, Heritage High School

Lynchburg City Schools

Ms. Melinda Bright

Director, VEA Teaching and Learning

Virginia Education Association

Mr. Miles Carey

Assistant Principal, Washington-Liberty High School

Arlington Public Schools

Virginia Education Association Teacher Representative

Dr. Amy Cashwell

Superintendent

Henrico County Public Schools

Dr. Walter Clements

Superintendent

Gloucester County Public Schools

Dr. Jared Cotton

Superintendent

Chesapeake Public Schools

Dr. Lyle Evans

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Education

University of Richmond

Dr. Tomika L. Ferguson

Assistant Dean, Student Affairs and Inclusive Excellence

Assistant Professor, Educational Leadership

Virginia Commonwealth University

Dr. Daisy Hicks

Superintendent
Buckingham County Public Schools

Dr. Barbara Kanninen
Arlington County School Board
Northeastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Mr. Jason Kessler
Governmental Relations Specialist
Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Ben Kiser
Executive Director
Virginia Association of School Superintendents

Dr. Cardell Patillo
Portsmouth City School Board
At-large Member, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Ms. Jowanda Rollins-Fells
Caroline County School Board Chair
Eastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Ms. Verletta White
Superintendent
Roanoke City Public Schools

Dr. Andrea Whitmarsh
Superintendent
Greene County Public Schools

Mr. David Woodard
Tazewell County School Board
Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Legislative Positions/FRN Committee

Dr. Patricia Zissios
Principal
Lyles Couch Traditional Academy, Alexandria

Virginia Department of Education

Dr. James F. Lane
Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Rosa Atkins
Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Dr. Joan Johnson
Assistant Superintendent of Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. Amy W. Griffin
Education Consultant
Office of Learning and Innovation

Ms. Jenne Nurse
Equity Coordinator

Office of Equity and Community Engagement

Project Consultants

(Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC)

Dr. James H. Stronge

Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson

Dr. Jessica Straessle

Dr. Rachel Ball

~~Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.~~

Attribution and copyright for Standard 6 (Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership) is the property of the Virginia Department of Education.

Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2010-2020] by James H. Stronge and Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia or by third-party vendors without prior permission of Stronge & Associates. (Approved by the Virginia Board of Education on XXX).

~~The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, political affiliation, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities. The policy permits appropriate employment preferences for veterans and specifically prohibits discrimination against veterans.~~

Endnotes

- ¹ Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010] by J. H. Stronge. Adapted with permission.
- ² American Association of School Administrators. (1980). p. 4
- ³ Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Arifkhanova, A, Bega, A., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., Han, E., Harris, M., Tamargo, J., Wrabel, S. (2017).
- ⁴ Jimenez & Sargrad (2017).
- ⁵ Condoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (2012).
- ⁶ DiPaola, M. F., Schneider, T. L., and Staples, S. R. (2019).
- ⁷ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
- ⁸ Waters, & Marzano (2006).
- ⁹ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).
- ¹⁰ Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008).
- ¹¹ DiPaola, M. F. (2010)
- ¹² Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).
- ¹³ Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).
- ¹⁴ Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011).
- ¹⁵ Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007); Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005)
- ¹⁶ Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- ¹⁷ Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation [JCSEE], 2009, p. 3.
- ¹⁸ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).
- ¹⁹ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997); Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001).
- ²⁰ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)
- ²¹ Maxfield, C. R., Wells, C. M., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. A. (2008), p.13
- ²² Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)
- ²³ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020); Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011)
- ²⁴ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)
- ²⁵ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017)
- ²⁶ Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)
- ²⁷ Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017)